

Before

THE TOBYHANNA TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSION

In Re: Regular Meeting

Tobyhanna Township Government Center Building
105 Government Center Way
Pocono Pines, Pennsylvania 18350
Thursday, April 9, 2015, beginning at 5:30 p.m.

PRESENT: MARK SINCAVAGE, Chairperson
JOSEPH MILLER, Vice-Chairperson
ANNE LAMBERTON, Secretary
PATRICIA M. RINEHEIMER, Board Member
MICHELE BISBING, Board Member

ROBERT J. McHALE, Township Engineer

PATRICK M. ARMSTRONG, ESQUIRE,
Solicitor

ALSO

PRESENT: Maureen Minnick, Zoning Officer

PANKO REPORTING
537 Sarah Street, Second Floor
Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania 18360
(570) 421-3620

2

1 MR. SINCAVAGE: Okay. I'll
2 call the regularly scheduled meeting of the
3 Tobyhanna Township Planning Commission for April
4 9, 2015 to order. No public's here.

5 I'll entertain a motion to
6 approve the minutes of March the 5th, 2015.

7 MR. MILLER: So moved.

8 MR. SINCAVAGE: I'll second the
9 motion.

10 All those in favor, please say
11 aye?

12 THE BOARD: Aye.

13 MR. SINCAVAGE: And you want to
14 abstain?

15 MS. RINEHEIMER: Oh, I'll
16 abstain. I'm sorry.

17 MR. SINCAVAGE: Pat abstains
18 because she was not present.

19 Going on to new business.
20 We'll just take care of these
21 items really quick and then we'll get to you.
22 The proposed overlay district
23 for Pocono Manor is --
24 MS. MINNICK: They request to
25 table.

3

1 MR. SINCAVAGE: The applicant
2 has requested to be tabled. I'll entertain a
3 motion to table that item.
4 MR. MILLER: So moved.
5 MR. SINCAVAGE: I have a
6 motion.
7 Do I have a second to the
8 motion?
9 MS. RINEHEIMER: I'll second.
10 MR. SINCAVAGE: Motion and
11 seconded.
12 All those in favor, say aye?
13 THE BOARD: Aye.
14 MR. SINCAVAGE: Anthony
15 Morroni?

16 MS. MINNICK: I have nothing on
17 them.

18 MR. SINCAVAGE: Nothing on
19 Anthony Morroni we need to review? Okay. Then
20 I'll entertain a motion to table Anthony Morroni,
21 minor subdivision.

22 MS. RINEHEIMER: I'll make that
23 motion.

24 MR. MILLER: Second.

25 MR. SINCAVAGE: Motion and

4

1 seconded.

2 All in favor, please say aye?

3 THE BOARD: Aye.

4 MR. SINCAVAGE: Okay.

5 T-Mobile?

6 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Good
7 evening, commission members. My name is Mike
8 Grab. I represent T-Mobile in connection with
9 the application. And I've met with the planning
10 commission before, but it's been several years
11 since I've been here.

12 This is an application for a
13 wireless communication facility on the Boy Scout
14 camp property. And the use is permitted by
15 conditional use under the zoning ordinance, so
16 we're meeting with the planning commission this
17 evening to seek a recommendation to the board of
18 supervisors for the conditional use application.

19 Do each of you have a copy of
20 the zoning drawings? It looks like maybe --
21 okay. Very good. I know that Mr. McHale has
22 issued a review letter dated today; we can
23 certainly take a look at that. But what I'd like
24 to do is just kind of familiarize you with what
25 the proposal is. So if you take a look at the

5

1 plan you have before you, the cover sheet, of
2 course, is just the -- the standard cover sheet
3 which shows the address, which is technically 106
4 Camp Minsi Road.

5 And if you go to the next
6 sheet, which is Z-1, that shows the proposed
7 location of the site, which is right off of Route
8 940, back Camp Minsi Road and then a little to

9 the -- to the west. If you follow the existing
10 road down there, you can see there's another
11 little road that comes off of that to the
12 compound where that's identified. Okay?

13 And then if you go to the next
14 page, which is Z-2, that's kind of a top-down
15 look at the compound. And I know the commission
16 has seen these kinds of proposals before. It's a
17 fairly standard wireless telecommunication
18 facility. It has the fenced-in gated compound;
19 and then within that, there is the monopole tower
20 with the antennas and then room for various
21 equipment, shelters that would -- that would go
22 in there.

23 The next page is Z-3, and that
24 shows an elevation view of the proposed monopole,
25 which is a hundred and fifty-five feet. And the

6

1 applicant has already met with the zoning hearing
2 board. We filed an application for certain
3 dimensional variances, all of which were granted
4 by the zoning hearing board. Now, that was an

5 oral decision that was announced -- I think it
6 was March 24, I think we met with them. So we
7 don't have the written decision yet, but those
8 all were granted at the conclusion of the
9 meeting.

10 So, again, the tower will be
11 constructed in accordance with current standards,
12 which is the EIA/TIA-222-G standard for steel
13 structures. And, of course, there'll be a
14 geotechnical investigation done at the site to
15 design the foundation and all of that's fairly
16 standard stuff. If you go to the next page then,
17 which is the last sheet, Z-4, that shows you,
18 again, the compound, fence detail, as well as the
19 antenna.

20 Now, one of the variances that
21 we requested was from the size limitation for
22 antennas in the ordinance. The size limitation
23 is three feet by five feet. There's been kind of
24 an evolution in the industry where the antennas
25 are getting slightly larger because they have to

7

1 handle more capacity. So the variance that we

1 will be other infill sites that may occur; but
2 the idea is to fill that gap as much as possible,
3 which is what this site does.

4 And that's why we sought the
5 variance for the height of the tower as well, to
6 permit that coverage. And when we met with the
7 zoning hearing board, we did show them the
8 reduction in coverage that would have occurred if
9 we would have built a tower at a lower elevation,
10 like at a hundred feet or 120 feet. So this is
11 the minimum height necessary in order to provide
12 that minimum radio frequency coverage objective.
13 Okay?

14 So in terms of the site, that's
15 really the -- the summary of it, in terms of the
16 design and layout and the radio frequency need
17 for it at the location. And, again, I know
18 Mr. McHale has prepared a review. I don't know
19 if you -- the planning commission wants to take a
20 look at that or discuss that.

21 MR. McHALE: We briefly started
22 to speak to this before the meeting, but we don't

23 need to go through every item. I think most of
24 it is, add notes --

25 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Sure.

9

1 MR. McHALE: -- confirm a few
2 things, provide information and the structural
3 calculations and things like that. And I just
4 want to kind of start at the beginning. Nothing
5 really major on the second page. The variances
6 were granted.

7 Michael, there's one variance
8 that when Maureen and I were looking at this,
9 this afternoon, we had a question as far as the
10 1,050 feet separation from the tower to the
11 property line.

12 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Um-hum.

13 MR. McHALE: And that actually
14 takes you to the -- the street, Tanglewood Drive.
15 It's about 770 feet from where the tower's being
16 proposed to the property line to the west; and I
17 wasn't sure how that was presented to the zoning
18 hearing board because they granted you to the
19 next residential district, if you will, which is

20 an R-2 Zone, and the R-2 Zone changes over at the
21 property line. So I don't know if you have any
22 insight to that, but --

23 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Well, that's
24 an issue that actually just came up only at the
25 time of the zoning hearing.

10

1 MR. McHALE: Yeah. Here's the
2 sketch. You might want to hold it up there,
3 Michael, but the site -- the tower's here. It
4 measures about 770 to here, but somebody must
5 have spoken to 1,050, which took them to the
6 street rather than that adjacent --

7 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Gotcha.

8 MR. McHALE: -- boundary. So I
9 don't know how that would get resolved.

10 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Well, I can
11 tell you that the -- the discussion was to permit
12 the variance to the boundary line.

13 MR. McHALE: Okay.

14 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: And what
15 happened was, there was a kind on-the-fly

16 measurement that was taken at the time of the
17 hearing to determine what that distance was. So
18 clearly somebody erroneously measured it --
19 T-Mobile must have erroneously measured that. We
20 had the engineer here with us.

21 MR. McHALE: Because even on
22 this site plan, Z-1, it actually shows 730 feet
23 from the tower to the property line.

24 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: To the
25 property line?

11

1 MR. McHALE: Well, actually
2 just a little bit inside the property line. So,
3 again, it wouldn't have exceeded 800 feet, but,
4 again, if the intent of the zoning hearing board
5 was just to take it to the property line, as
6 you're speaking to --

7 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Yes.

8 MR. McHALE: -- then perhaps
9 the decision letter should clarify that a little
10 bit; and that should take care of it.

11 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Yeah. The
12 measurement wasn't intended to be the actual

13 dimension. The actual measurement reflected what
14 we thought was to the property line, which was
15 the discussion with the zoning hearing board.

16 MS. MINNICK: Right.

17 MR. McHALE: Okay.

18 MR. ARMSTRONG: Okay. So
19 just -- just so I'm clear, the zoning hearing
20 board level, the distance that was, I guess,
21 represented to the zoning hearing board, was not
22 the 770, it was --

23 MR. McHALE: 1,050.

24 MR. ARMSTRONG: -- 1,050.

25 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: 1,050, but

12

1 that was presented by the T-Mobile engineer.
2 When the issue about the setback distance was
3 raised, we kind of took a recess, reviewed that
4 distance, came back on, requested an amendment to
5 the application to permit that variance. And
6 everybody said to the property line, but
7 apparently the measurement was incorrect, which I
8 wasn't made aware of until -- until right now.

9 MR. ARMSTRONG: Okay.

10 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: And actually

11 I shouldn't say the property line. I think that

12 the intent was to --

13 MR. McHALE: The district

14 line --

15 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: -- to the

16 district line --

17 MR. McHALE: -- which is the

18 property line.

19 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Right.

20 -- is what the discussion was with the zoning

21 hearing board.

22 MR. ARMSTRONG: And based on

23 the verbal -- because obviously there's no

24 written decision yet, but based on the verbal,

25 they granted that requested variance distancewise

13

1 to the property line.

2 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: That's

3 correct.

4 MR. ARMSTRONG: Okay.

5 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Yeah, they

6 granted both the amendment to the application and
7 then the actual variance.

8 MR. McHALE: Okay. At the
9 bottom of Page 4, there's a requirement to
10 demonstrate good faith effort that they look to,
11 within a half a mile radius, mount an antenna on
12 a facility within that area. And there was a
13 note in the supplemental narrative that was
14 provided by Attorney Grab that they had done
15 that.

16 Pat, I don't know if you need
17 something more than just a statement or what to
18 demonstrate that.

19 MR. ARMSTRONG: Yeah. I'm
20 actually -- I don't -- I don't think I have a
21 copy of that.

22 MR. McHALE: Maureen, do you
23 have --

24 MR. ARMSTRONG: Is there an
25 extra?

14

1 MR. McHALE: -- any extra

2 copies of the --

3 MS. MINNICK: I gave it to him.

4 MR. ARMSTRONG: Here we go.

5 MR. McHALE: You got it? Okay.

6 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: And at the
7 time of the zoning hearing, we actually presented
8 sworn testimony from the site acquisition
9 consultant to confirm, you know, where she had
10 conducted her investigation and where she had
11 looked and what the existing towers or tall
12 structures were within that radius. But we can
13 certainly provide something in writing --

14 MR. McHALE: Something --

15 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: -- so that
16 the board has that for the conditional use
17 hearing.

18 MR. McHALE: Okay. There's a
19 couple of sections that speak to providing the
20 ability for collocations on this particular
21 tower.

22 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Um-hum.

23 MR. McHALE: And that would
24 come in somewhat with the structural analysis in
25 the early design that's done. But what we should

1 probably see is how many antenna arrays are being
2 proposed, maybe even sketch it on the elevation
3 or some notes that speak to that, and that
4 there's provisions made for the compound itself,
5 that it can accommodate those additional --

6 MR. MILLER: Bob, is there any
7 way to know how many additional antennas are
8 allowed? I mean, is there any way to tell that?

9 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Well, I can
10 tell you generally these are going to be designed
11 to permit up to four collocators; so they're
12 going to be designed for a minimum of that. And
13 the area of the compound would be sufficient for
14 that too. And we can certainly add a note to the
15 plans that says that it will be designed to hold
16 a minimum of -- so it will be T-Mobile plus three
17 additional.

18 MR. SINCAVAGE: Okay.

19 MR. MILLER: That would be at
20 the max then?

21 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: That would
22 be generally the max. Now, they can be designed
23 to hold even more than that, but usually for a

24 tower of this height, you'll find that no more
25 than four are going to be interested anyway

16

1 because the fourth --

2 MR. MILLER: Getting too low.

3 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: -- one is
4 going to be so low that -- yeah.

5 MR. McHALE: At the bottom of
6 Page 5, Element U, which is basically saying that
7 you have a lease agreement for the parcel. If
8 you could provide that to our township solicitor,
9 that would be great.

10 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Sure.

11 MR. ARMSTRONG: And the owner
12 is Bethlehem Boy Scouts of Council, BSA?

13 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Correct,
14 yeah.

15 MR. ARMSTRONG: Okay.

16 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: And we did
17 provide a copy of the lease to the zoning hearing
18 board as part of that hearing, but we will go
19 ahead -- we'll present that again to the board of

20 supervisors at the time of the conditional use
21 hearing.

22 MR. McHALE: At the bottom of
23 Page 6, Item CC, there's a visual impact analysis
24 that's to be done. And, again, in his
25 supplemental narrative, Attorney Grab had

17

1 indicated that they would be providing a balloon
2 test or visual impact analysis to the board of
3 supervisors at the time of the hearing.

4 Some clarifications basically
5 on the color. It does speak to, and I think you
6 also reference this in your supplemental
7 narrative, that the proposed monopole tower will
8 have a galvanized gray finish. The ordinance
9 actually speaks to a matte finish, so if we can
10 make it a matte finish rather than a shiny --

11 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Sure.

12 MR. McHALE: -- nice type.

13 Just some clarifications,
14 there's no other towers, notes to the plan,
15 within a thousand feet of this tower. And I
16 think most of the rest -- I mean, existing

17 proposed contours, just to show the compound, how
18 they're going to plan to grade.

19 The storm water management
20 portion of it, they had some notes that is de
21 minimis. They do not need to submit a drainage
22 plan --

23 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Okay.

24 MR. McHALE: -- according to
25 the ordinance due to the size, but they do need

18

1 to comply with the regulations nonetheless. So I
2 wanted to take those notes off and put some
3 clarity in there that they're going to comply,
4 you know, with Chapter 124.

5 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Okay.

6 MR. McHALE: Has this been
7 submitted to Monroe County Planning Commission?

8 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: It has not
9 at this point. We're going to go through the
10 zoning process first and then deal with the land
11 development issues at that point.

12 MR. McHALE: Okay. Other items

13 like approval blocks, PA One Call design serial
14 number, those kind of items need to be added.

15 Maureen, I don't know if there
16 was anything else you wanted to speak to
17 regarding that?

18 Or if you had any questions,
19 planning commission, on the comments? A lot of
20 notes and additional information will be
21 provided.

22 MR. SINCAVAGE: Is this all
23 wooded between 940 and the proposed tower?

24 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: It is.
25 Yeah. Yeah, the proposed site is surrounded

19

1 significantly by -- by a wooded area. And the
2 idea would be to remove the minimum number of
3 existing trees necessary to put the compound in
4 there because that's going to provide a lot of
5 the basic screening. And, again, we did do a
6 balloon test and so that will depict and show
7 exactly what those existing trees look like.

8 MR. McHALE: And I believe the
9 terrain falls off from 940 down into the camp.

10 And you could look at the USGS maps, but it's
11 probably more than 20 feet.

12 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Yes.

13 MR. McHALE: List item -- if we
14 could add the property identification number.
15 There's a tax map number on here, but if you
16 could add the pin, that would be --

17 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: You want the
18 pin on there?

19 MR. McHALE: Yeah. And other
20 than that, this is just basically a checklist of
21 items to go through.

22 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: And, again,
23 in terms of those housekeeping items and adding
24 the notes, that won't be a problem. We can put
25 all those on there.

20

1 MR. SINCAVAGE: Okay. Bob,
2 according to your letter, you're recommending
3 that we table this?

4 MR. McHALE: Yes.

5 MR. ARMSTRONG: I was actually

3 have the actual written zoning hearing board
4 decision yet. So assuming everything is as it
5 appears, there might not be any zoning issues.
6 You know, we still need the lease agreement, but
7 it sounds like you provided that for purposes of
8 the zoning hearing board.

9 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Um-hum.

10 MR. ARMSTRONG: You know,
11 you're --

12 MR. McHALE: They've been
13 granted variances, they're showing the setbacks
14 that are required. Many of the elements that
15 they need to provide are on the drawings, and
16 pretty well depict, you know, a clean site. So
17 really it's the structural design, the calcs, the
18 certifications, the notes to be added, those type
19 of things.

20 MR. ARMSTRONG: Did anyone show
21 up at the zoning hearing board, any residents?

22 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: No, there
23 was no opposition. There weren't any interested
24 parties that were there.

25 MR. ARMSTRONG: Okay. The

1 access road --

2 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: It's not
3 like it used to be.

4 MR. McHALE: The balloon test
5 will really give a picture of what you're going
6 to be able to see from 940 and assuming they
7 probably shot from both directions to --

8 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Correct.

9 MR. ARMSTRONG: The access
10 road, it might be in here, is it gravel?

11 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: It is, yeah.
12 It's always gravel, yeah.

13 MR. ARMSTRONG: Okay.

14 MR. McHALE: And they got a
15 fenced in compound and that meets the criteria.

16 MR. SINCAVAGE: Yeah. The only
17 thing I was looking at was landscaping, but
18 that's heavily wooded, so, you know, there's no
19 need for landscaping.

20 MR. ARMSTRONG: Is there going
21 to be a gate or anything at the entrance?

22 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: There is.
23 We'll, there's a locked gate on the compound, but

24 I don't believe that there is a gate down at the
25 entrance to the road because that's used for the

23

1 camp access as well.

2 MR. ARMSTRONG: Oh, it's a
3 preexisting access road?

4 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Yeah, it's
5 preexisting off of 940 --

6 MR. ARMSTRONG: Okay.

7 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: --
8 preexisting access, yeah.

9 MR. McHALE: Pat, there is a
10 note in here, but I think maybe you and Attorney
11 Grab ought to discuss how the maintenance of --
12 there should be something that stipulates how
13 this access out to 940 is going to be shared,
14 because I know we can end up in a situation
15 where, like we did many years ago, that -- an ice
16 storm; and if the Boy Scout camp is not being
17 plowed open during the winter months, then how do
18 you get access to the tower to check and verify
19 that everything is structurally sound?

20 MR. ARMSTRONG: Is that

21 addressed in the lease agreement?

22 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: It is.

23 That's addressed in the lease agreement that --

24 MR. ARMSTRONG: Are you doing
25 like a shared driveway easement or is it just

24

1 you're addressing it in the lease agreement and
2 that's it?

3 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: In the lease
4 agreement and that's it. So there wasn't going
5 to be a separate specific easement that is
6 recorded, but it's part of a lease agreement.
7 And, again, I can pull the language out of there
8 so that you can see that.

9 MR. ARMSTRONG: Okay.

10 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Okay.

11 MR. ARMSTRONG: And you've
12 looked at, I assume, Section 155-59, as well as
13 155-106 of the township zoning ordinance?

14 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Sure. In
15 terms of all the requirements and the general
16 requirements?

17 MR. ARMSTRONG: In all of the
18 requirements it's the intent of you and your
19 client to comply with, with the exception of
20 those that you receive or may receive zoning
21 relief from the zoning hearing board?

22 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: That's
23 absolutely correct.

24 MR. McHALE: And if there are
25 elements in the SALDO only that you wish to ask

25

1 for waiver relief on, then that should be
2 submitted to the attention of the chairman and
3 identify each of those and put that on the plan.

4 MR. ARMSTRONG: I spoke with
5 Attorney Grab a little bit before about
6 potentially requesting a waiver of land
7 development, and it sounds like that might be the
8 direction your client would go.

9 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Yeah. For
10 these kinds of sites, we customarily do that. So
11 what we do is, go through the zoning process
12 first and then address with the township, in the
13 appropriate way, any land development waivers.

14 So that would entail, most likely, an application
15 for the waiver, you know, whatever fee
16 accompanies that and then that could be reviewed
17 by the solicitor and by the engineer.

18 MR. ARMSTRONG: Yeah. Usually
19 a letter to the attention of the township --

20 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Okay.

21 MR. ARMSTRONG: -- just
22 requesting it.

23 MR. McHALE: The ordinance,
24 actually in that first Section A, on Page 2, it
25 actually links the Chapter 135, the SALDO, into,

26

1 you know, complying with the plan requirements of
2 that -- the SALDO. So unless you're looking at
3 individually, you know, addressing those elements
4 of the SALDO as a waiver on, I mean, I don't know
5 if --

6 MR. ARMSTRONG: Where are you
7 referring to, Bob, on your letter?

8 MR. McHALE: A.

9 MR. ARMSTRONG: A.

10 MR. McHALE: So I guess you
11 could waive the land development, but it still
12 includes the criteria within the zoning portion
13 of it to comply with 135, I guess is what I'm
14 saying. So it's not like it all goes away, and
15 that's why I was thinking if you individually --
16 like the typical items, like within 500 feet of
17 the property, then identify, you know, utility
18 lines and things like that. Since they are more
19 remote on this, it's not really necessary. So
20 there's probably half a dozen elements that could
21 be provided a modification or a waiver.

22 MR. ARMSTRONG: Okay. Are
23 you -- just so I'm clear, it's 2-A in your
24 letter?

25 MR. McHALE: That's out of the

27

1 zoning section, but it makes --

2 MR. ARMSTRONG: Right.

3 MR. McHALE: -- reference to
4 the SALDO.

5 MR. ARMSTRONG: And you're
6 referencing -- or it's referencing the plans to

7 be submitted, to be in compliance with the
8 subdivision and land development ordinance?
9 Is --

10 MR. McHALE: Well, in this
11 review, I kind of combined both. And under Item
12 B on Page 8, we started the SALDO portion of it
13 in the compliance with Chapter 135; and any of
14 the elements that weren't included or might need
15 a waiver are all identified there.

16 MR. ARMSTRONG: Okay.

17 MR. McHALE: So --

18 MR. ARMSTRONG: I'll look
19 at it.

20 MR. McHALE: -- you can look at
21 and decide that.

22 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Yeah. We
23 can look at that and discuss the best way to
24 proceed with those kind of waiver issues.

25 MR. SINCAVAGE: Personally, I

28

1 would like to see the zoning hearing board
2 opinion, especially since there's a question on

3 that -- the setback, on how that was worded or
4 how that was phrased, so I'd like that clarified.
5 So if there's no problem, which it doesn't sound
6 like there would, we'll consider this at our May
7 meeting, which would be on May the 7th.

8 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Okay.

9 MR. ARMSTRONG: This was the
10 discrepancy that was discovered just today, Bob.

11 MR. McHALE: Yes.

12 MR. ARMSTRONG: So the zoning
13 hearing board and the zoning hearing board
14 solicitor probably don't even know it.

15 MR. McHALE: Correct.

16 RM. ARMSTRONG: So maybe what
17 I --

18 Go ahead.

19 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: I was going
20 to say, what I will do is send a letter, based
21 upon our discussion this evening, to the zoning
22 hearing board; to you, Maureen; and to the
23 solicitor, to advise them that we just became
24 aware of this measurement discrepancy and ask
25 that that be addressed in the written decision.

1 MR. ARMSTRONG: And perhaps ask
2 for leave of the board to supplement the record.

3 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: To amend
4 that to the record, exactly, yes.

5 MR. SINCAVAGE: I'd rather see
6 that cleared up before this board takes any
7 action, though.

8 MR. ARMSTRONG: Okay. I guess
9 a question to the commission, do you want
10 Mr. Grab to attend your May meeting to further
11 discuss this?

12 MR. SINCAVAGE: I think as long
13 as those kind of things are addressed, we don't
14 need you here.

15 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Okay. Very
16 good.

17 MR. SINCAVAGE: If you don't
18 want to come see us, that's okay.

19 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Well, it's
20 not that I don't want to come see you, but I will
21 be back up in May too with the board of
22 supervisors, so -- yeah.

23 MR. SINCAVAGE: That's fine.

24 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Okay.

25 Great.

30

1 MR. SINCAVAGE: That's fine.

2 We can take action then.

3 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Okay.

4 MR. SINCAVAGE: Okay. So I'll
5 entertain a motion to table the conditional use
6 application of T-Mobile Northeast.

7 MS. RINEHEIMER: I'll make that
8 motion.

9 MR. SINCAVAGE: I have a
10 motion.

11 Do I have a second to the
12 motion?

13 MR. MILLER: Second.

14 MR. SINCAVAGE: Motion and
15 seconded.

16 All those in favor, please say
17 aye?

18 THE BOARD: Aye.

19 MR. MICHAEL GRAB: Thanks for
20 your time, commission. We appreciate it. Have a

21 good evening.

22 MR. SINCAVAGE: Yep.

23 (Discussion off the record.)

24 MR. SINCAVAGE: Anything else

25 to come before the commission?

31

1 MS. MINNICK: That's it.

2 MR. SINCAVAGE: No?

3 MS. MINNICK: Nothing.

4 MR. SINCAVAGE: Nothing.

5 Then we stand adjourned. Thank

6 you.

7 (Meeting concluded at 5:51

8 p.m.)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

32

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately, to the best of my ability, in the notes taken by me at the meeting in the above matter; and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same.

14

15

16

JESSICA L. HOLT, C.R.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25